

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

IWM Consulting Group 7428 Rockville Road Indianapolis, IN 46214 ATTN: Brad Gentry bgentry@iwmconsult.com March 28, 2019

SUBJECT: Former Amphenol Facility, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Gentry,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on March 26, 2019. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for analysis.

LDC Project #44617_ADEFG:

SDG # Fraction:

50218581, 50218713 50218719, 50218832 Volatiles

50218834

The data validation was performed under Level III & IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc. Amphenol Corporation, Franklins, Indiana; October 2018
- Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Frankling, Indiana; February 2019
- USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review;
 January 2017
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Pei Geng

pgeng@lab-data.com

Project Manager/Senior Chemist

Email DVR/ce: cparks@iwmconsult.com

	o,o to pages DE	1 WEEK	***********************												***********	nent	***********																			***************************************	
	90/10	-	LDC#	446	320	(IV	/M	Col	ารเ	ıltiı	ng	Gro	oup	- I	ndi	ana	apo	lis	, IN	/ F	orr	ner	· Ar	npl	hen	ol	Fac	ilit	у)								
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	V	9) OA 60C)						-										***************************************								-								
Matrix:	Water/Soil			W	s	W	S	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	S	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	S	W	s	W	s	W	S	W	S	W	s
Α	50218581	03/26/19	04/02/19	9	0																																
Α	50218581	03/26/19	04/02/19	4	0																																
В	50218588	03/26/19	04/02/19	2	27																																
В	50218588	03/26/19	04/02/19	0	4																																
С	50218593	03/26/19	04/02/19	2	9																																
D	50218713	03/26/19	04/02/19	13	0																																
Е	50218719	03/26/19	04/02/19	1	0																																
F	50218832	03/26/19	04/02/19	5	0				000000000																												
G	50218834	03/26/19	04/02/19	3	0																																
																																					1
				Γ								Π					Γ																				i
				Г																																	<u> </u>
																																					<u> </u>
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000																																				
				Π																																	1
																																					1
				Ī								Ī								<u> </u>																	
	***************************************											Ī																									
																																					1
	***************************************		***************************************		0000000000				0000000000	0000000000			-				-	000000000			-	**************************************	***************************************		00000000000					******************************			00000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			1
																														\vdash							<u> </u>
				T								T				T	1																				
																														T							
$\overline{}$												\vdash								\vdash																	_
		†		T	T	\vdash				-		I		\vdash	T	T	T			<u> </u>				\vdash	I					\vdash					_		
	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************											-								<u> </u>								·							
Total	T/PG			39	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79

LDC Report# 44620A1a

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Former Amphenol Facility

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2019

Parameters:

Volatiles

Validation Level:

Level III & IV

Laboratory:

Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 50218581

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
TW-15 GW (9.75-11.75)**	50218581001**	Water	03/05/19
TW-15 GW (14.25-16.25)	50218581002	Water	03/05/19
TW-16 GW (8.75-10.75)**	50218581003**	Water	03/05/19
TW-16 GW (12.00-14.00)	50218581004	Water	03/05/19
TW-17 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218581005	Water	03/05/19
TW-17 GW (16.50-18.50)**	50218581006**	Water	03/05/19
TW-18 GW (7.75-9.75)	50218581007	Water	03/05/19
TW-18 GW (16-18)**	50218581008**	Water	03/05/19
TW-19 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218581009	Water	03/05/19
TW-19 GW (20-22)	50218581010	Water	03/05/19
EB-1 GW	50218581011	Water	03/05/19
FD-1 GW	50218581012	Water	03/05/19
TB-1 GW	50218581013	Water	03/05/19
TW-18 GW (16-18)MS	50218581008MS	Water	03/05/19
TW-18 GW (16-18)MSD	50218581008MSD	Water	03/05/19

^{**}Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (October 2018), the Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (February 2019), and a modified outline of the USEPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (January 2017). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260C

All sample results were subjected to Level III data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Level IV data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J (Estimated): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to nonconformances discovered during data validation.
- U (Non-detected): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the compound or analyte should be considered non-detected at the reported concentration due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The compound or analyte was reported as not detected by the laboratory; however the reported quantitation/detection limit is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- R (Rejected): The sample results were rejected due to gross non-conformances discovered during data validation. Data qualified as rejected is not usable.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected compound or analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

VI. Field Blanks

Sample TB-1 GW was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

Sample EB-1 GW was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminants were found.

VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	Flag	A or P
TW-18 GW (16-18)MS/MSD (TW-18 GW (16-18)**)	1,1-Dichloroethane	-	131 (51-130)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Field Duplicates

Samples TW-16 GW (12.00-14.00) and FD-1 GW were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentrati	on (mg/Kg)	
Compound	TW-16 GW (12.00-14.00)	FD-1 GW	RPD
Tetrachloroethene	76.7	75.0	2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	6.0	5.9	2
Trichloroethene	77.0	76.0	1

XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XII. Compound Quantitation

All compound quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Level IV validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIII. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Level IV validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Level IV validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

The quality control criteria reviewed were met and are considered acceptable. Based upon the data validation all results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218581

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218581

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218581

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-15 GW (9.75-11.75)	Lab ID:	50218581001	Collected	d: 03/05/19	09:22	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 03:48	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 03:48	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	, ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 03:48	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 03:48	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 03:48	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	45.6	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 03:48	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.7J	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 03:48	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	15.6	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 03:48	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 03:48	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 03:48	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 03:48	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	103	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 03:48	2037-26-5	

LT08/29/101



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218581

Sample: TW-15 GW (14.25-16.25)	Lab ID:	50218581002	Collected	1: 03/05/19	10:25	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 04:21	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 04:21	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 04:21	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 04:21	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 04:21	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	56.6	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 04:21	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	8.3	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 04:21	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	57.2	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 04:21	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 04:21	75-01-4	
Surrogates		-							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 04:21	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 04:21	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	104	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 04:21	2037-26-5	

LTOSpalia



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-16 GW (8.75-10.75)	Lab ID:	50218581003	Collected	d: 03/05/19	11:10	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 M	atrix: Water	
Descriptions	Donulés	Ulmita	Report	MDI	DE	Danagara	A mahasa si	010 N=	01
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 04:53	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 04:53	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 04:53	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 04:53	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 04:53	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	10.2	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 04:53	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.71J	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 04:53	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	5.9	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 04:53	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 04:53	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 04:53	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 04:53	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	104	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 04:53	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

IJ03/29/19

Page 8 of 28



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-16 GW (12.00-14.00)	Lab ID:	50218581004	Collecte	d: 03/05/19	11:44	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 08:09	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 08:09	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 08:09	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 08:09	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 08:09	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	76.7	ug/L	5.0	0.27	. 1		03/07/19 08:09	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	6.0	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 08:09	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	77.0	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 08:09	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 08:09	75-01-4	
Surrogates		_							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	93	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 08:09	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 08:09	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	104	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 08:09	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

IJB/20/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218581

Sample: TW-17 GW (9.75-11.75)	Lab ID:	50218581005	Collected	1: 03/05/19	12:45	Received: 03	i/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	***************************************
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 17:56	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 17:56	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 17:56	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 17:56	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 17:56	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 17:56	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0J	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 17:56	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	8.6	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 17:56	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 17:56	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	91	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 17:56	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 17:56	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	102	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 17:56	2037-26-5	



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-17 GW (16.50-18.50)	Lab ID:	50218581006	Collected	d: 03/05/19	13:25	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 09:14	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 09:14	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.58J	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 09:14	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 09:14	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 09:14	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	. 1		03/07/19 09:14	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 09:14	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	20.6	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 09:14	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 09:14	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	92	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 09:14	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	98	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 09:14	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	102	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 09:14	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

LTB/2/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218581

Sample: TW-18 GW (7.75-9.75)	Lab ID:	50218581007	Collecter	d: 03/05/19	14:55	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 09:46	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 09:46	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 09:46	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 09:46	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 09:46	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 09:46	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 09:46	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 09:46	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 09:46	75-01-4	
Surrogates		-							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	95	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 09:46	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	103	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 09:46	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	104	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 09:46	2037-26-5	



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-18 GW (16-18)	Lab ID:	50218581008	Collected	: 03/05/19	14:14	Received: 03	I/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 10:19	75-34-3	M1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 10:19	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND.	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 10:19	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 10:19	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 10:19	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	0.28J	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 10:19	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.5J	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 10:19	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	8.8	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 10:19	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 10:19	75-01-4	
Surrogates		-							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 10:19	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	101	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 10:19	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	103	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 10:19	2037-26-5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

LT03/29/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-19 GW (9.75-11.75)	Lab ID:	50218581009	Collected	i: 03/05/19	15:33	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	***************************************
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 11:57	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 11:57	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 11:57	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 11:57	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 11:57	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 11:57	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.31J	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 11:57	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	4.2J	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 11:57	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 11:57	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	93	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 11:57	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 11:57	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	103	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 11:57	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

LT03/29/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TW-19 GW (20-22)	Lab ID:	50218581010	Collecte	d: 03/05/19	16:05	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units -	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 12:29	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 12:29	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	3.6J	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 12:29	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.33J	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 12:29	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 12:29	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 12:29	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.6	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 12:29	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	66.7	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 12:29	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 12:29	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 12:29	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	102	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 12:29	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	103	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 12:29	2037-26-5	

IJ 03/20/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: EB-1 GW	Lab ID:	50218581011	Collected	d: 03/05/19	14:35	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 M	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 13:02	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 13:02	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND 1	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 13:02	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 13:02	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 13:02	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 13:02	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 13:02	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 13:02	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 13:02	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	92	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 13:02	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 13:02	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	105	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 13:02	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

IJ03/29/19

Page 16 of 28



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218581

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

Sample: FD-1 GW	Lab ID:	50218581012	Collected	1: 03/05/1	08:00	Received: 03	/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 13:35	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 13:35	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 13:35	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 13:35	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 13:35	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	75.0	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 13:35	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.9	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 13:35	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	76.0	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 13:35	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 13:35	75-01-4	
Surrogates		-							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 13:35	1868-53-7	•
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 13:35	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	104	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 13:35	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC. LIB/201/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/08/2019 12:40 PM

50218581

Sample: TB-1 GW	Lab ID:	50218581013	Collected	l: 03/05/19	08:00	Received: 03	3/06/19 12:10 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.50	1		03/07/19 14:07	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 14:07	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.14	1		03/07/19 14:07	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.15	1		03/07/19 14:07	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	1.6	1		03/07/19 14:07	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.27	1		03/07/19 14:07	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.26	1		03/07/19 14:07	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.17	1		03/07/19 14:07	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.22	1		03/07/19 14:07	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	95	%.	89-116		1		03/07/19 14:07	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/07/19 14:07	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	103	%.	87-110		1		03/07/19 14:07	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

LT03/29/19

_DC #:	44620A1a	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 03/27/19
SDG #:	50218581	Level III/IV	Page: 1 of 2
aborato	ory: Pace Analytica	I Energy Services, LLC	Reviewer: <u>SY4</u>
	•	•	2nd Reviewer: []

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	10AL = 20% MC 30%
IV.	Continuing calibration	I A	CN = 20%
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	100	EB = 11 TB = 13
VII.	Surrogate spikes	LA	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	las
X.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 4/12
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation RL/LOQ/LODs	À	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIII.	Target compound identification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIV.	System performance	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:	A = Acceptable	ND = No compounds detected	D = Duplicate	SB=Source blank
	N = Not provided/applicable	R = Rinsate	TB = Trip blank	OTHER:
	SW = See worksheet	FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank		

SW = See worksheet
** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

* Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation									
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date						
1 Y TW-15 GW (9.75-11.75)**	50218581001**	Water	03/05/19						
2 ¹ TW-15 GW (14.25-16.25)	50218581002	Water	03/05/19						
3 TW-16 GW (8.75-10.75)**	50218581003**	Water	03/05/19						
4 TW-16 GW (12.00-14.00)	50218581004	Water	03/05/19						
5 TW-17 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218581005	Water	03/05/19						
6 TW-17 GW (16.50-18.50)**	50218581006**	Water	03/05/19						
7 7 TW-18 GW (7.75-9.75)	50218581007	Water	03/05/19						
8 ² TW-18 GW (16-18)**	50218581008**	Water	03/05/19						
9 ² TW-19 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218581009	Water	03/05/19						
10 TW-19 GW (20-22)	50218581010	Water	03/05/19						
11 EB-1 GW	50218581011	Water	03/05/19						
12 ² FD-1 GW ¹)	50218581012	Water	03/05/19						
13 TB-1 GW	50218581013	Water	03/05/19						

SDG Labo	#: 44620A1a #: 50218581 ratory: Pace Analytical E	Date:ºº/२७/ Page: ºº of - º Reviewer: <u>_</u> ऽ√/ 2nd Reviewer: <u>√</u>				
MET	HOD: GC/MS Volatiles ((EPA SW 846 Method 8260)				
14	TW-18 GW (16-18)MS			50218581008MS	Water	03/05/19
15	TW-18 GW (16-18)MSD			50218581008MSD	Water	03/05/19
16						
17						
18						
19						
20			***********************			
Note	S:					
ı	MB 2299906 2249908	(1-3)				
7	2249908	(4-13)				

LDC# 44 620 A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer: \(\nu(1)\)

Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

Wiethod: Volatiles (ET A GVV 040 Method 02000)							
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments			
I. Technical holding times							
Were all technical holding times met?	/						
Was cooler temperature criteria met?							
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check	y water the same of the same o	,	······································				
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?							
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	/						
Illa. Initial calibration	\$ossossasas	yraasaaaaaaaaa					
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?		·					
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?	/						
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of ≥ 0.990?		_					
IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification							
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?							
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 30%?							
IV. Continuing calibration							
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/						
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?	/						
V. Laboratory Blanks							
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?							
Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?							
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks?		/					
VI. Field blanks							
Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?	/						
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?		/					
VII. Surrogate spikes	ng bankanan kabulan	·					
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?]					
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?							
VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates							
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?							
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			oderne aggresse constant				

LDC#: 44620A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: JVG
2nd Reviewer:

[]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
X. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?				
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicates?				
XI. Internal standards	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	y^^~^^	
Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?				
XII. Compound quantitation		vavoffilm (miliosopomio	~~	
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	/			
Were compound quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XIII. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?				
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?				
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	/			
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.				
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.				

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA

A. Chloromethane	AA. Tetrachloroethene	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	AAAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether	A1. 1,3-Butadiene	A2.
B. Bromomethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BBB. 4-Chiorotoluene	BBBB, tert-Amyl methyl ether	B1. Hexane	B2.
C. Vinyl choride	CC. Toluene	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	CCCC. 1-Chlorohexane	C1. Heptane	C2.
D. Chloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol	D1. Propylene	D2.
E. Methylene chloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	EEEE. Acetonitrile	E1. Freon 11	E2.
F. Acetone	FF. Styrene	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	FFFF. Acrolein	F1. Freon 12	F2.
G. Carbon disulfide	GG. Xylenes, total	GGG. p-isopropyltoluene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile	G1. Freon 113	G2.
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	HH. Vinyl acetate	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane	H1. Freon 114	H2.
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	III. n-Butylbenzene	IIII. Isobutyl alcohol	11. 2-Nitropropane	l2.
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile	J1. Dimethyl disulfide	J2.
K. Chloroform	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	KKKK. Propionitrile	K1. 2,3-Dimethyl pentane	K2.
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	LLLL. Ethyl ether	L1. 2,4-Dimethyl pentane	L2.
M. 2-Butanone	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	MMM. Naphthalene	MMMM. Benzyl chloride	M1. 3,3-Dimethyl pentane	M2.
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	NNNN. lodomethane	N1. 2-Methylpentane	N2.
O. Carbon tetrachloride	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	OOOO.1,1-Diffuoroethane	O1. 3-Methylpentane	02.
P. Bromodichloromethane	PP. Bromochloromethane	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	PPPP. Tetrahydrofuran	P1. 3-Ethylpentane	P2.
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	QQQQ. Methyl acetate	Q1. 2,2-Dimethylpentane	Q2.
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	RR. Dibromomethane	RRR. m,p-Xylenes	RRRR. Ethyl acetate	R1. 2,2,3- Trimethylbutane	R2.
S. Trichloroethene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	SSS. o-Xylene	SSSS. Cyclohexane	S1. 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	S2.
T. Dibromochloromethane	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	TTTT. Methylcyclohexane	T1. 2-Methylhexane	T2.
U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	UUUU. Allyl chloride	U1. Nonanal	U2.
V. Benzene	VV. isopropylbenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene	VVVV. Methyl methacrylate	V1. 2-Methylnaphthalene	V2.
W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	WW. Bromobenzene	WWW. Ethanol	WWWW. Ethyl methacrylate	W1. Methanol	W2.
X. Bromoform	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	XXX. Di-isopropyl ether	XXXX. cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	X1. 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	X2.
Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	YY. n-Propylbenzene	YYY, tert-Butanol	YYYY. trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	Y1.	Y2.
Z. 2-Hexanone	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	ZZZ, tert-Butyl alcohol	ZZZZ. Pentachloroethane	Z1.	Z2.

LDC #: 44620 AIR

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page:_	of	1
Reviewer:	JVG	
2nd Reviewer:	4	

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

YN N/A

Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an

associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

YN N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		14/15	I	()	131 (51-130)	()	8 (NO)	J dits/A
				()	()	()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				()	()	()		
		****		()	()	()	***************************************	
				()	()	()		
	···			()	()	()	***************************************	
_				()	()	()		
_				()	()	()	M-414AM-4-111-111-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	
4				()	()	()		
_	~~~~	***************************************		()	()	()		
-		***************************************		()	((***************************************	
-						()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
T				()	. ()	()		
十				(, , ,	()	()		
\dashv		Approximation of the second of		()	()	()		
+				()		, ,		
+			1	()	1 /	()	***************************************	
-		***************************************			1			
\bot	***************************************			()	()	()		
\dashv				()		(
丄			<u> </u>	()	()	()		

LDC #: 44620A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: JVG

2nd reviewer: L7

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

Y N N/A Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Were target compounds identified in the field duplicate pairs?

0		Concentration (mg/Kg)				
Compound	4	12	RPD			
AA	76.7	75.0	2			
N	6.0	5.9	2			
S	77.0	76.0	1			

V:\Josephine\FIELD DUPLICATES\44620A1a iwm amphenol.wpd

LDC #: 44620A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: _1_ of _1_ Reviewer: ___JVG 2nd Reviewer: ___^L7___

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

 $RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$

 A_x = Area of Compound

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard

average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards

 C_x = Concentration of compound,

C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

S= Standard deviation of the RRFs,

X = Mean of the RRFs

				Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
		Calibration		RRF	RRF	Average RRF	Average RRF	%RSD	%RSD
#	Standard ID	Date	Compound (IS)	(RRF 50 std)	(RRF 50 std)	(Initial)	(Initial)		
1	ICAL	3/3/2019	Trichloroethene (FBZ)	0.35073	0.35073	0.31766	0.31766	18.305	18.305
	50MVCB		Tetrachloroethane (CBZ)	0.56473	0.56473	0.51383	0.51383	11.168	11.168

LDC # 44620A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: _1 of 1 Reviewer: JVG 2nd Reviewer:_ 🔽

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Ax = Area of compound,

Where:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF

RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx)

ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF

RRF = continuing calibration RRF

Ais = Area of associated internal standard

Cx = Concentration of compound,

Cis = Concentration of internal standard

					Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
		Calibration		Average RRF	RRF	RRF	% D	%D
#	Standard ID	Date	Compound (IS)	(Initial)	(CCV)	(CCV)		
1	14696121CCV	3/6/2019	Trichloroethene (FBZ)	0.31766	0.33117	0.33117	4.25	4.25
		18:01	Tetrachloroethane (CBZ)	0.51383	0.49507	0.49507	3.65	3.65
	44000440007	2/7/2040	Triabless (CD7)	0.24700	0.22207	0.33207	4.05	4.05
2	14696118CCV	3/7/2019	Trichloroethene (FBZ)	0.31766	0.33307	0.33307	4.85	4.85
		6:31	Tetrachloroethane (CBZ)	0.51383	0.49056	0.49056	4.53	4.53

LDC #: 44626 AI ~ VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Surrogate Results Verification**

Page:_	1_of_1_
Reviewer:	JVG
2nd reviewer:	して

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found

SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane	50.0	47.1	94	94	9
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		*		,	
Toluene-d8		51.7	103	103	
Bromofluorobenzene		49.7	99	99	ł

Sample ID:

·	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene			·		

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane		***************************************		***************************************	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4				**************************************	······
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					

Sample ID:_

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane	`				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					

LDC#: 44620 AIR

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page:	_1_of_1_
Reviewer:	JVG
2nd Reviewer:	: 7

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SA = Spike added

SC = Sample concentration

RPD = I MSC - MSC I * 2/(MSC + MSDC)

MSC = Matrix spike concentration

MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate concentration

MS/MSD sample:

Compound	Spike Added (UG/L)		Added Concentration		Spiked Sample Concentration (Spike Recovery	Matrix Spik	e Duplicate Recovery	i.	/MSD PD
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
1,1-Dichloroethene	50.0	50.0	0	59. Y	65.5	118	118	131	151	10	16
Trichloroethene			8.8	61-3	68.7	105	105	120	120	l)	1)
Benzene											
Toluene										-	
Chlorobenzene											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Di	uplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and a	associated samples when reported results do not agree within
10.0% of the recalculated results.		

LDC#: 49620A1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

	Page:	_1_ot_1_
	Reviewer:	JVG
2nd	Reviewer:	7

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratoy control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * SSC/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCSC - LCSDC I * 2/(LCSC + LCSDC)

		pike	Spiked Sample				`s		SD	L CS/L CSD			
Compound	R	lded ル)	2)	ntration	Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery Percent Recovery		F	RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated			
1,1-Dichloroethene	50.0	NA	55.7	MA	111	<i>)</i>							
Trichloroethene	J	l l	52,1	(104	104							
Benzene													
Toluene													
Chlorobenzene													

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0%	1
of the recalculated results.	

LDC #: 44626 AIR

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page:	_1_of1_
Reviewer:	JVG
2nd reviewer:	

METHOD:	GC/MS \	AOV	(EPA	SW	846	Method	8260C)
---------	---------	-----	------	----	-----	--------	--------

YN N/A YN N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?

Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{(A_{\circ})(I_{\circ})(DF)} \\ \underline{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_{\circ})(\%S)} \end{array}$ Concentration = Example: Sample I.D. ______, _AA A_{x} Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific Conc. = (19366 |) (50) () internal standard Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng) RRF Relative response factor of the calibration standard. Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) V. 7, 45.6 mg/L or grams (g). Df Dilution factor. %S Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices

#	only. Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration (씨/니)	Calculated Concentration	Qualification
	Vallipro 10	wompound	45.6		
			13.6		

				•	

LDC Report# 44620D1a

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Former Amphenol Facility

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2019

Parameters:

Volatiles

Validation Level:

Level III

Laboratory:

Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 50218713

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
TW-28 GW (12.5-14.5)	50218713001	Water	03/06/19
TW-28 GW (9.5-11.5)	50218713002	Water	03/06/19
TW-29 GW (12.5-14.5)	50218713003	Water	03/06/19
TW-29 GW (9.5-11.5)	50218713004	Water	03/06/19
TW-26 GW (15-17)	50218713005	Water	03/06/19
TW-26 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218713006	Water	03/06/19
TW-27 GW (10.25-12.25)	50218713007	Water	03/06/19
TW-30 GW (11-13)	50218713008	Water	03/06/19
TW-30 GW (7.75-9.75)	50218713009	Water	03/06/19
TW-31 GW (8.25-10.25)	50218713010	Water	03/06/19
EB-2 GW	50218713011	Water	03/06/19
FD-2 GW	50218713012	Water	03/06/19
TB-2 GW	50218713013	Water	03/06/19

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (October 2018), the Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (February 2019), and a modified outline of the USEPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (January 2017). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260C

All sample results were subjected to Level III data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J (Estimated): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- U (Non-detected): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the compound or analyte should be considered non-detected at the reported concentration due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The compound or analyte was reported as not detected by the laboratory; however the reported quantitation/detection limit is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- R (Rejected): The sample results were rejected due to gross non-conformances discovered during data validation. Data qualified as rejected is not usable.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected compound or analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

VI. Field Blanks

Sample TB-2 GW was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

Sample EB-2 GW was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminants were found.

VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Field Duplicates

Samples TW-30 GW (11-13) and FD-2 GW were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

	Concentrati		
Compound	TW-30 GW (11-13)	FD-2 GW	RPD
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.0	1.7	16
Trichloroethene	2.8	3.1	10

XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XII. Compound Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIII. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

The quality control criteria reviewed were met and are considered acceptable. Based upon the data validation all results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218713

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218713

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218713

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-28 GW (12.5-14.5)	Lab ID:	50218713001	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	9 10:44	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/09/19 02:10	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 02:10	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/09/19 02:10	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/09/19 02:10	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/09/19 02:10	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/09/19 02:10	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.5J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 02:10	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	3.3J	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 02:10	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/09/19 02:10	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	100	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 02:10	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	97	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 02:10	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 02:10	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-28 GW (9.5-11.5)	Lab ID:	50218713002	Collected	: 03/06/19	10:11	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 16:58	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 16:58	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 16:58	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 16:58	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 16:58	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 16:58	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 16:58	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	1.6J	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 16:58	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 16:58	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 16:58	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	97	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 16:58	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	96	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 16:58	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-29 GW (12.5-14.5)	Lab ID:	502187130	03 Collected	d: 03/06/19	3 12:12	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report			•			
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EF	PA 8260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 17:32	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 17:32	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 17:32	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 17:32	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 17:32	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	7.2	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 17:32	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.2J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 17:32	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	20.4	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 17:32	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 17:32	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99	%.	89-116		. 1		03/08/19 17:32	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	96	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 17:32	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	98	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 17:32	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-29 GW (9.5-11.5)	Lab ID:	50218713004	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	11:31	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water			
			Report								
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual		
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Analytical Method: EPA 8260									
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 18:07	75-34-3	M5		
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 18:07	107-06-2	M5		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 18:07	156-59-2	M5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 18:07	156-60-5	M5		
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 18:07	75-09-2	M5		
Tetrachloroethene	3.7J	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 18:07	127-18-4	M5		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 18:07	71-55-6	M5		
Trichloroethene	6.3	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 18:07	79-01-6	M5		
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 18:07	75-01-4	M5		
Surrogates											
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 18:07	1868-53-7	M5		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 18:07	460-00-4	M5		
Toluene-d8 (S)	99	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 18:07	2037-26-5	M5		



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Date: 03/11/2019 01:26 PM

Sample: TW-26 GW (15-17)	Lab ID:	50218713005	Collected	d: 03/06/19	14:03	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 18:41	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1 .	•	03/08/19 18:41	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 18:41	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 18:41	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 18:41	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 18:41	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.4J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 18:41	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	6.7	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 18:41	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 18:41	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	101	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 18:41	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	97	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 18:41	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	96	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 18:41	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-26 GW (9.75-11.75)	Lab ID:	50218713006	Collected	d: 03/06/19	9 09:20	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
1 arameters	- I Cours	Othis -		IVILAL		riepaieu	- Analyzeu	CAS NO.	
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 19:16	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 19:16	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 19:16	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 19:16	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 19:16	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 19:16	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 19:16	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	- 1		03/08/19 19:16	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 19:16	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	102	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 19:16	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 19:16	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	99	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 19:16	2037-26-5	M5

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC. LT03/20/19

Date: 03/11/2019 01:26 PM

Page 11 of 28



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Date: 03/11/2019 01:26 PM

Sample: TW-27 GW (10.25-12.25)	Lab ID:	50218713007	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	12:55	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water		
			Report							
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual	
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Analytical Method: EPA 8260								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 19:50	75-34-3	M5	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 19:50	107-06-2	M5	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 19:50	156-59-2	M5	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 19:50	156-60-5	M5	
Methylene Chloride	ND .	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 19:50	75-09-2	M5	
Tetrachloroethene	10.1	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 19:50	127-18-4	M5	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 19:50	71-55-6	M5	
Trichloroethene	9.5	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 19:50	79-01-6	M5	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 19:50	75-01-4	M5	
Surrogates										
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	101	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 19:50	1868-53-7	M5	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 19:50	460-00-4	M5	
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 19:50	2037-26-5	M5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Page 12 of 28



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Date: 03/11/2019 01:26 PM

Sample: TW-30 GW (11-13)	Lab ID:	50218713008	Collected	d: 03/06/19	15:22	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	-	
			Report							
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual	
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Analytical Method: EPA 8260								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 20:25	75-34-3	M5	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/08/19 20:25	107-06-2	M5	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/08/19 20:25	156-59-2	M5	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 20:25	156-60-5	M5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/08/19 20:25	75-09-2	M5	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/08/19 20:25	127-18-4	M5	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.0J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/08/19 20:25	71-55-6	M5	
Trichloroethene	2.8J	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/08/19 20:25	79-01-6	M5	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/08/19 20:25	75-01-4	M5	
Surrogates										
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	101	%.	89-116		1		03/08/19 20:25	1868-53-7	M5	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	97	%.	85-111		1		03/08/19 20:25	460-00-4	M5	
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/08/19 20:25	2037-26-5	M5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Page 13 of 28



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-30 GW (7.75-9.75)	Lab ID:	50218713009	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	14:45	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.52	1		03/09/19 00:44	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 00:44	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.65	1		03/09/19 00:44	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1.		03/09/19 00:44	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	2.4	1		03/09/19 00:44	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.79	1		03/09/19 00:44	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 00:44	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	. 1		03/09/19 00:44	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.38	1		03/09/19 00:44	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	98	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 00:44	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	98	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 00:44	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	96	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 00:44	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: TW-31 GW (8.25-10.25)	Lab ID:	50218713010	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	16:02	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8						To American Commission	
	·								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.52	1		03/09/19 01:19	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 01:19	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.65	1		03/09/19 01:19	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 01:19	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	2.4	1		03/09/19 01:19	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.79	1		03/09/19 01:19	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 01:19	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	2.8J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 01:19	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.38	1		03/09/19 01:19	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates		ŭ							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	100	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 01:19	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 01:19	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 01:19	2037-26-5	M5



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Sample: EB-2 GW	Lab ID:	50218713011	Collected	d: 03/06/19	17:05	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.52	1		03/09/19 01:53	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 01:53	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.65	1		03/09/19 01:53	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 01:53	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	2.4	1		03/09/19 01:53	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.79	1		03/09/19 01:53	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 01:53	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 01:53	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.38	1		03/09/19 01:53	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 01:53	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 01:53	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 01:53	2037-26-5	M5

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218713

Sample: FD-2 GW	Lab ID:	50218713012	Collecte	d: 03/06/19	9 08:00	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.52	1		03/09/19 02:28	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 02:28	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.65	1		03/09/19 02:28	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 02:28	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	2.4	1		03/09/19 02:28	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.79	1		03/09/19 02:28	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.7J	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 02:28	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	3.1J	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 02:28	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.38	1		03/09/19 02:28	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	98	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 02:28	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 02:28	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 02:28	2037-26-5	M5

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

LT 03/29/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS


Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218713

Date: 03/11/2019 01:26 PM

Sample: TB-2 GW	Lab ID:	50218713013	Collected:	03/06/19	08:00	Received: 03	3/07/19 11:50 M	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.52	1		03/09/19 03:02	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 03:02	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.65	1		03/09/19 03:02	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 03:02	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	2.4	1		03/09/19 03:02	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.79	1		03/09/19 03:02	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 03:02	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 03:02	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.38	1		03/09/19 03:02	75-01-4	M5
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	100	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 03:02	1868-53-7	HS,M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 03:02	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	95	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 03:02	2037-26-5	M5



LDC #: 44620D1a	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 03/28/10
SDG #: 50218713	Level III	Page: \ of \ \
Laboratory: Pace Analytical E	Energy Services, LLC	Reviewer:
-		2nd Reviewer: Lt

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	1041 = 20% 101 = 30%
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	cal = 20%
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Á	
VI.	Field blanks	NO	B=11 TB=13
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	LG
Χ.	Field duplicates	SN)	D = 8/12
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation RL/LOQ/LODs	N	
XIII.	Target compound identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:	A = Acceptable	ND = No compounds detected	D = Duplicate	SB=Source blank
	N = Not provided/applicable	R = Rinsate	TB = Trip blank	OTHER:
	SW = See worksheet	FR = Field blank	FR = Faulament blank	

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	TW-28 GW (12.5-14.5)	50218713001	Water	03/06/19
2	TW-28 GW (9.5-11.5)	50218713002	Water	03/06/19
3	TW-29 GW (12.5-14.5)	50218713003	Water	03/06/19
4	TW-29 GW (9.5-11.5)	50218713004	Water	03/06/19
5	TW-26 GW (15-17)	50218713005	Water	03/06/19
6	TW-26 GW (9.75-11.75)	50218713006	Water	03/06/19
7	TW-27 GW (10.25-12.25)	50218713007	Water	03/06/19
8	TW-30 GW (11-13)	50218713008	Water	03/06/19
9	TW-30 GW (7.75-9.75)	50218713009	Water	03/06/19
10	TW-31 GW (8.25-10.25)	50218713010	Water	03/06/19
11	EB-2 GW	50218713011	Water	03/06/19
12	FD-2 GW	50218713012	Water	03/06/19
13	TB-2 GW	50218713013	Water	03/06/19

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA

	······································				
A. Chloromethane	AA. Tetrachloroethene	AAA 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	AAAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether	A1. 1,3-Butadiene	A2.
B. Bromomethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	BBBB. tert-Amyl methyl ether	B1. Hexane	B2.
C. Vinyl choride	CC. Toluene	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	CCCC. 1-Chlorohexane	C1. Heptane	C2.
D. Chloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol	D1. Propylene	D2.
E. Methylene chloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	EEEE. Acetonitrile	E1. Freon 11	E2.
F. Acetone	FF. Styrene	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	FFFF. Acrolein	F1. Freon 12	F2.
G. Carbon disulfide	GG. Xylenes, total	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile	G1. Freon 113	G2.
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	HH. Vinyl acetate	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane	H1. Freon 114	H2.
1,1-Dichloroethane	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	III. n-Butylbenzene	IIII. Isobutyl alcohol	I1. 2-Nitropropane	12.
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile	J1. Dimethyl disulfide	J2.
K. Chloroform	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	KKKK. Propionitrile	K1. 2,3-Dimethyl pentane	K2.
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	LLLL. Ethyl ether	L1. 2,4-Dimethyl pentane	L2.
M. 2-Butanone	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	MMM. Naphthalene	MMMM. Benzyl chloride	M1. 3,3-Dimethyl pentane	M2.
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	NNNN. lodomethane	N1. 2-Methylpentane	N2.
O. Carbon tetrachloride	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	OOOO.1,1-Difluoroethane	O1. 3-Methylpentane	O2.
P. Bromodichloromethane	PP. Bromochloromethane	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	PPPP. Tetrahydrofuran	P1. 3-Ethylpentane	P2.
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	QQQQ. Methyl acetate	Q1. 2,2-Dimethylpentane	Q2.
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	RR. Dibromomethane	RRR. m,p-Xylenes	RRRR. Ethyl acetate	R1. 2,2,3- Trimethylbutane	R2.
S. Trichloroethene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	SSS. o-Xylene	SSSS. Cyclohexane	S1. 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	S2.
T. Dibromochloromethane	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	TTTT. Methylcyclohexane	T1. 2-Methylhexane	T2.
U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	UUUU. Allyl chloride	U1. Nonanal	U2.
V. Benzene	VV. Isopropylbenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene	VVVV. Methyl methacrylate	V1. 2-Methylnaphthalene	V2.
W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	WW. Bromobenzene	WWW. Ethanol	WWWW. Ethyl methacrylate	W1. Methanol	W2.
X. Bromoform	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	XXX. Di-isopropyl ether	XXXX. cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	X1. 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	X2.
Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	YY. n-Propylbenzene	YYY, tert-Butanol	YYYY. trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	Y1.	Y2.
Z. 2-Hexanone	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	ZZZ. tert-Butyl alcohol	ZZZZ. Pentachloroethane	Z1.	Z2.

LDC #: 44620D1a

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1_of 1_ Reviewer: JVG 2nd reviewer: LT

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260C)

Y N N/A Y N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Were target compounds identified in the field duplicate pairs?

		Concentrati	on (mg/Kg)	
	Compound	8	12	RPD
N	l	2.0	1.7	16
s		2.8	3.1	10

V:\Josephine\FIELD DUPLICATES\44620D1a iwm amphenol.wpd

LDC Report# 44620E1a

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Former Amphenol Facility

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2019

Parameters:

Volatiles

Validation Level:

Level III

Laboratory:

Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 50218719

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
TW-23 GW (6.75-8.75)	50218719001	Water	03/06/19

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (October 2018), the Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (February 2019), and a modified outline of the USEPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (January 2017). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260C

All sample results were subjected to Level III data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J (Estimated): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- U (Non-detected): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the compound or analyte should be considered non-detected at the reported concentration due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The compound or analyte was reported as not detected by the laboratory; however the reported quantitation/detection limit is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- R (Rejected): The sample results were rejected due to gross non-conformances discovered during data validation. Data qualified as rejected is not usable.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected compound or analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XII. Compound Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIII. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

The quality control criteria reviewed were met and are considered acceptable. Based upon the data validation all results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218719

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218719

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218719

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218719

Sample: TW-23 GW (6.75-8.75)	Lab ID:	50218719001	Collected	: 03/06/19	16:45	Received: 03	J/07/19 11:50 Ma	atrix: Water	***************************************
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	3260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/09/19 02:45	75-34-3	M5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.59	1		03/09/19 02:45	107-06-2	M5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.60	1		03/09/19 02:45	156-59-2	M5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/09/19 02:45	156-60-5	M5
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/09/19 02:45	75-09-2	M5
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.56	1		03/09/19 02:45	127-18-4	M5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.58	1		03/09/19 02:45	71-55-6	M5
Trichloroethene	1.5J	ug/L	5.0	0.62	1		03/09/19 02:45	79-01-6	M5
Vinyl chloride Surrogates	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.29	1		03/09/19 02:45	75-01-4	M5
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	100	%.	89-116		1		03/09/19 02:45	1868-53-7	M5
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	97	%.	85-111		1		03/09/19 02:45	460-00-4	M5
Toluene-d8 (S)	97	%.	87-110		1		03/09/19 02:45	2037-26-5	M5

LTOtalia

LDC	#: <u>44620E1a</u>	N COMP	LETENESS	WORKSHEET		Date: <u>67/28/</u> 1
	#: <u>50218719</u> Level III					Page:of Reviewer: <i></i>
Labo	ratory: Pace Analytical Energy Services, LLC					Reviewer:
ACT	UOD. CC/MC Valatilas /EDA CVA/ 946 Mas	thad oneny	*		2nd F	Reviewer: LT
MEI	HOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Me	unou ozou				
The s	samples listed below were reviewed for ea	ach of the fo	ollowing valida	tion areas. Validatio	n findings are	noted in attached
	ation findings worksheets.					
			F			1
	Validation Area			Comm	ents	***************************************
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A				
H.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	LA				
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AA	IGAL	£ 20/3	-	a = 30%
IV.	Continuing calibration	I A	CNS	266	**************************************	
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A			B0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
VI.	Field blanks	N				
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	***************************************		administrative in the second s	
VIII	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	T N				
IX.	Laboratory control samples	À	LC	\$	777	
Χ.	Field duplicates					
XI.	Internal standards	A				
XII.	Compound quantitation RL/LOQ/LODs	N				
XIII	Target compound identification	N				
XIV	System performance	N				
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A				
Vote:	A = Acceptable ND = N	No compounds	s detected	D = Duplicate	SB=Sour	ce blank
	N = Not provided/applicable R = Ris SW = See worksheet FB = F	nsate ïeld blank		TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blan	OTHER: k	
				Ī <u> </u>		
+	Client ID	······································		Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	TW-23 GW (6.75-8.75)			50218719001	Water	03/06/19
2		THE CONTROL OF THE CO	THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP			
3		Desirement				
4 5						
6						
7		······································				
8		·····				
Vote:						
	传 2252285			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

		w				
. 1	1 1		1 1		1 1	11

LDC Report# 44620F1a

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Former Amphenol Facility

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2019

Parameters:

Volatiles

Validation Level:

Level III

Laboratory:

Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 50218832

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
TW-24 GW (4-6)	50218832001	Water	03/07/19
TW-25 GW (2.75-4.75)	50218832002	Water	03/07/19
FD-3 GW	50218832003	Water	03/07/19
TB-3 GW	50218832004	Water	03/07/19
EB-3 GW	50218832005	Water	03/07/19

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (October 2018), the Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (February 2019), and a modified outline of the USEPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (January 2017). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260C

All sample results were subjected to Level III data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J (Estimated): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- U (Non-detected): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the compound or analyte should be considered non-detected at the reported concentration due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The compound or analyte was reported as not detected by the laboratory; however the reported quantitation/detection limit is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- R (Rejected): The sample results were rejected due to gross non-conformances discovered during data validation. Data qualified as rejected is not usable.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected compound or analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

VI. Field Blanks

Sample TB-3 GW was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

Sample EB-3 GW was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminants were found.

VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Field Duplicates

Samples TW-25 GW (2.75-4.75) and FD-3 GW were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XII. Compound Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIII. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

The quality control criteria reviewed were met and are considered acceptable. Based upon the data validation all results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218832

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218832

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218832

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218832

Sample: TW-24 GW (4-6)	Lab ID:	Collected: 03/07/19 13:45			Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water		
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
						. , op a. o	·····	~~~~~	
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical Method: EPA 8260								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 13:57	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 13:57	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 13:57	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 13:57	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 13:57	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	1.2J	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 13:57	127~18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 13:57	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 13:57	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 13:57	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 13:57	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	100	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 13:57	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 13:57	2037-26-5	

LTBhalin

Date: 03/11/2019 05:04 PM



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218832

Sample: TW-25 GW (2.75-4.75)	Lab ID:	50218832002	Collecte	d: 03/07/1	9 14:18	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 14:24	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 14:24	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 14:24	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 14:24	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 14:24	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 14:24	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 14:24	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 14:24	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 14:24	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 14:24	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	98	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 14:24	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	105	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 14:24	2037-26-5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, ŁLC.

LTOStalis

Page 7 of 17



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218832

Sample: FD-3 GW	Lab ID:	50218832003	Collecte	d: 03/07/19	00:80	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 14:50	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 14:50	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 14:50	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 14:50	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 14:50	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 14:50	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 14:50	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 14:50	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 14:50	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	97	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 14:50	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 14:50	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	106	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 14:50	2037-26-5	



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/11/2019 05:04 PM

50218832

Sample: TB-3 GW	Lab ID:	50218832004	Collected	d: 03/07/19	08:00	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 15:17	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 15:17	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 15:17	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 15:17	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 15:17	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 15:17	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 15:17	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 15:17	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 15:17	75-01-4	
Surrogates		_							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 15:17	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 15:17	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 15:17	2037-26-5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.



Page 9 of 17



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

Date: 03/11/2019 05:04 PM

50218832

Sample: EB-3 GW	Lab ID:	50218832005	Collected	d: 03/07/19	9 11:30	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 15:44	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 15:44	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 15:44	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 15:44	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 15:44	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L.	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 15:44	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 15:44	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 15:44	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 15:44	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	95	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 15:44	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 15:44	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 15:44	2037-26-5	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

IJ 05/20/19

Page 10 of 17

SDG#	44620F1a VALIDATIO 50218832 tory: Pace Analytical Energy Services, L	L	LETENE: .evel III	SS WORKSHE		Date: 63/23/ Page: of / Reviewer: 66/6 Reviewer: 66/6
The sa	OD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Me imples listed below were reviewed for ea ion findings worksheets.			dation areas. Valid		
	Validation Area			Co	mments	
l.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A				
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A				
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	1CA	L = 202		100 = 30%
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	co	1 £ 202		
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Ä				
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB	= 4 1	B= 5	
 VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ				
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates		50%	21 8834002	ariousis yesteen anno anno anno anno anno anno anno a	MANAGAMATA MARANA M
IX.	Laboratory control samples	À		LCS		
X.	Field duplicates	ND		$D = \frac{2}{3}$	······································	***************************************
XI.	Internal standards	A			······································	***************************************
		N				
XII.	Compound quantitation RL/LOQ/LODs					
XIII.	Target compound identification	N N				
XIV.	System performance	N A				
XV.	Overall assessment of data	L A L				
ote:	N = Not provided/applicable R = Rir	lo compounds nsate ield blank	detected	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment	OTHER	urce blank ::
	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
+	W-24 GW (4-6)			50218832001	Water	03/07/19
-	W-25 GW (2.75-4.75)		***************************************	50218832002	Water	03/07/19
_	-D-3 GW			50218832003	Water	03/07/19
	TB-3 GW			50218832004	Water	03/07/19
	EB-3 GW	***************************************		50218832005	Water	03/07/19
5		***************************************	***************************************			
,		VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VII	THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE			
otes:						
- 1	MB 2252954					

LDC Report# 44620G1a

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Former Amphenol Facility

LDC Report Date: March 28, 2019

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Level III

Laboratory: Pace Analytical Services, LLC.

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 50218834

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
TW-20 GW (4.75-6.75)	50218834001	Water	03/07/19
TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)	50218834002	Water	03/07/19
TW-22 GW (7.5-9.5)	50218834003	Water	03/07/19
TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)MS	50218834002MS	Water	03/07/19
TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)MSD	50218834002MSD	Water	03/07/19

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (October 2018), the Additional Off-Site Groundwater Investigation Work Plan, Franklin Power Products, Inc./Amphenol Corporation, Franklin, Indiana (February 2019), and a modified outline of the USEPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (January 2017). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260C

All sample results were subjected to Level III data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J (Estimated): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- U (Non-detected): The compound or analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the compound or analyte should be considered non-detected at the reported concentration due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The compound or analyte was reported as not detected by the laboratory; however the reported quantitation/detection limit is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- R (Rejected): The sample results were rejected due to gross non-conformances discovered during data validation. Data qualified as rejected is not usable.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected compound or analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For compounds where average relative response factors (RRFs) were utilized, the percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination (r²) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all compounds were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XII. Compound Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIII. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Level III validation.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

The quality control criteria reviewed were met and are considered acceptable. Based upon the data validation all results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218834

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218834

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Former Amphenol Facility
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 50218834

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.: 50218834

Sample: TW-20 GW (4.75-6.75)	Lab ID:	50218834001	Collecte	d: 03/07/19	09:27	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 M	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	3260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 16:11	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 16:11	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 16:11	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 16:11	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 16:11	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 16:11	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 16:11	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 16:11	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 16:11	75-01-4	
Surrogates		_							
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 16:11	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	98	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 16:11	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 16:11	2037-26-5	
								*	

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol

Pace Project No.:

50218834

Sample: TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)	Lab ID:	50218834002	Collected	d: 03/07/19	10:15	Received: 03	3/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
6	D # .	11-2-	Report	MO	5.5			0.40.41	
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 16:38	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 16:38	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 16:38	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 16:38	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 16:38	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 16:38	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 16:38	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 16:38	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 16:38	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 16:38	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 16:38	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 16:38	2037-26-5	

LT03/20/19



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project:

Amphenol


Pace Project No.:

50218834

Sample: TW-22 GW (7.5-9.5)	Lab ID:	50218834003	Collecte	d: 03/07/19	11:10	Received: 03	J/08/19 08:56 Ma	atrix: Water	
			Report						
Parameters	Results	Units	Limit	MDL	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260/5030 MSV	Analytical	Method: EPA 8	260						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.47	1		03/10/19 17:04	75-34-3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.32	1		03/10/19 17:04	107-06-2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.37	1		03/10/19 17:04	156-59-2	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.86	1		03/10/19 17:04	156-60-5	
Methylene Chloride	ND	ug/L	5.0	5.0	1		03/10/19 17:04	75-09-2	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.61	1		03/10/19 17:04	127-18-4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ug/L	5.0	0.89	1		03/10/19 17:04	71-55-6	
Trichloroethene	4.0J	ug/L	5.0	0.80	1		03/10/19 17:04	79-01-6	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ug/L	2.0	0.27	1		03/10/19 17:04	75-01-4	
Surrogates									
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	97	%.	89-116		1		03/10/19 17:04	1868-53-7	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	99	%.	85-111		1		03/10/19 17:04	460-00-4	
Toluene-d8 (S)	107	%.	87-110		1		03/10/19 17:04	2037-26-5	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, LLC.



SDG # .abora	t: 44620G1a VALIDATIO t: 50218834 atory: Pace Analytical Energy Services, L	LC LC	Level III	S WORKSHEET	2nd	Date: <u>63/28/</u> Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer:
he sa	amples listed below were reviewed for eation findings worksheets.			ation areas. Validatio	n findings are	noted in attached
	Validation Area			Comm	ents	
l.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A				
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A				
III.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	ICA	v = 26%	4~	105303
IV.	Continuing calibration	A		1 = 20%		
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A				
VI.	Field blanks	N				
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	-	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	À			***************************************	
***************************************	Laboratory control samples	A	La	······································	propagation of the second of t	
IX.				2		
XI.	Field duplicates Internal standards	A				
XII.	Compound quantitation RL/LOQ/LODs	N				
XIII.	Target compound identification	N				
XIV.	System performance	N				
XV.	Overall assessment of data	<u> </u>				
iote:	N = Not provided/applicable R = Rir	lo compound nsate ield blank	s detected	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank	OTHER	ırce blank :
4	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 .	TW-20 GW (4.75-6.75)			50218834001	Water	03/07/19
	TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)			50218834002	Water	03/07/19
	TW-22 GW (7.5-9.5)			50218834003	Water	03/07/19
	TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)MS			50218834002MS	Water	03/07/19
	TW-21 GW (2.75-4.75)MSD	***************************************		50218834002MSD	Water	03/07/19
6		***************************************				
7						
<u>a</u>						
lotes:						
-	MB 2252954					
			1 1			7